by the Government of Himachal Pradesh, the District Rural Roads Plan of Shimla District does not contain any road named Badiyara-Manghara. A road named Badiyara-Nandla, having link route No. L-041, was approved in Phase-II of PMGSY and has been completed in November, 2005. According to the District Rural Roads Plan of Shimla District, the link route proposed for Manghara, which has a population of 307 as per 2001 Census, is the road named Bhamwan-Manghara with link route No. L-088.

(c) and (d) As reported by the State Government, there has been no violation of PMGSY Guidelines.

Proposals of Madhya Pradesh under SGSY

†2696. SHRIMATI MAYA SINGH: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the total number of proposals received by Government from Madhya Pradesh State under Swarnjayanti Gram Swarojgar Yojana during the last three years and current year of 2005-06;

(b) whether Government have cleared all the proposals;

(c) if so, the details thereof and if not, the reasons therefor; and

(d) by when such proposals are likely to be cleared?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) to (d) 43 proposals were received by Government from the Government of Madhya Pradesh under Swarnjayanti Gram Swarojgar Yojana (SGSY) during the last three years and current year of 2005-06. Out of 43 proposals, 11 proposals were approved and 23 proposals were returned to State Government as they were not found in accordance with the SGSY Guidelines. The remaining 9 proposals are under different stages of considerations and are being processed as per the laid down procedures.

Allocation of amount under Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme to M.P.

†2697. SHRI PYARELAL KHANDELWAL: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the desired amount of Rural Employment

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

Guarantee Scheme has not yet been received by the Government of Madhya Pradesh;

(b) if so, the reason therefor and by when the above said amount would be allocated and how much this amount stand at;

(c) whether it is also a fact that this is causing delay in payment of wages to the rural unemployed people; and

(d) whether the Government of Madhya Pradesh has sent any demand letter to the Central Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) and (b) An amount of Rs. 350 crore has been released as initial instalment during the current financial year for implementation of the Act in the State of Madhya Pradesh. Every district can apply for the next instalment after utilizing 60% of the existing resources. No such request has been received after the release of the initial instalment.

(c) No case of delay in payment of wages to the rural unemployment people has been reported by the State Government due to non-availability of funds under the Act.

(d) No demand letter has been received from Government of Madhya Pradesh after the release of Rs. 350.00 crore for the year 2006-07 by the Central Government.

Roads under PMGSY in Chhattisgarh

†2698. SHRI DILIP SINGH JUDEV: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) The names of roads constructed/under construction in Chhattisgarh under PMGSY during the last three years in respect of which quality test was conducted by programme implementation unit, State quality monitor and National Quality appointed by State Government;

(b) the names of the roads in respect of which defective construction has been noted alongwith the name of agency which detected the defect and whether any action has been taken against the constructor concerned;

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.